

The Virtual Scaling Function of AdS/CFT

Stefan Zieme

Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik
Albert Einstein Institut
Potsdam

Bremen, 26.03.2009

0901.2747 [hep-th] together with Lisa Freyhult, Uppsala



Outlook

- Gluon Scattering/Wilson Loops
- Integrability
- String Theory

Review: Cusp anomalous dimension Γ_{cusp}

- integral equation
- results at weak and strong coupling

New: Virtual Scaling Function

- What does this mean?
- Katze im Sack
- weak \rightarrow strong coupling

motivation: AdS/CFT correspondence

- duality at weak/strong coupling: $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills dual to IIB string theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5$. [Maldacena]
- spectra of both theories should coincide: $E = \Delta$
- hard to test

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang Mills

- compute anomalous dimension of local gauge invariant operators build from sYM fields e.g.

$$\mathcal{O}(x) = \text{Tr} (\mathcal{Y}(x)\mathcal{X}(x)\mathcal{U}(x)\mathcal{Z}(x)\mathcal{F}(x)(\mathcal{D}\mathcal{Z}(x))) + \dots$$

- anomalous dimension in conformal gauge theory defined as eigenvalues of the dilatation generator

$$\mathcal{D} \mathcal{O}_\alpha = \Delta_\alpha \mathcal{O}_\alpha .$$

- in planar limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ the dilatation generator \mathcal{D} corresponds to a long-ranged integrable super spin chain with $\mathfrak{psu}(2, 2|4)$ symmetry
- can be diagonalized with Bethe ansatz [Minahan, Zarembo],[Beisert, Staudacher]

Solve conformal $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills

- Spectrum of Anomalous Dimension



- Scattering Amplitudes of on-shell states



Use anomalous dimension to “solve” gluon amplitudes

Lance Dixon: “...gluon scattering amplitudes ... “1/4” solved.”

Virtual Scaling Function

gluon scattering amplitudes “3/8”
solved

X-tra

Repeat at strong coupling and
compare with string spectrum!

Solve conformal $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills

- Spectrum of Anomalous Dimension



- Scattering Amplitudes of on-shell states



Use anomalous dimension to “solve” gluon amplitudes

Lance Dixon: “...*gluon scattering amplitudes* ... “1/4” solved.”

Virtual Scaling Function

gluon scattering amplitudes “3/8”
solved

X-tra

Repeat at strong coupling and
compare with string spectrum!

Solve conformal $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills

- Spectrum of Anomalous Dimension



- Scattering Amplitudes of on-shell states



Use anomalous dimension to “solve” gluon amplitudes

Lance Dixon: “...*gluon scattering amplitudes* ... “1/4” solved.”

Virtual Scaling Function

gluon scattering amplitudes “3/8”
solved

X-tra

Repeat at strong coupling and
compare with string spectrum!

Solve conformal $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills

- Spectrum of Anomalous Dimension



- Scattering Amplitudes of on-shell states



Use anomalous dimension to “solve” gluon amplitudes

Lance Dixon: “...*gluon scattering amplitudes* ... “1/4” solved.”

Virtual Scaling Function

gluon scattering amplitudes “3/8”
solved

X-tra

Repeat at strong coupling and
compare with string spectrum!

Gluon amplitudes

- [Bern,Dixon,Smirnov] proposed the following form for n gluon planar, MHV scattering amplitude

$$\log\left(\frac{\mathcal{A}_n}{\mathcal{A}_n^{\text{tree}}}\right) = \text{Div}_n + \text{finite}$$

- in dimensional regularization $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$

$$\text{Div}_n = - \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{1}{8\epsilon^2} f^{(-2)}\left(\frac{\lambda\mu^{2\epsilon}}{s_{i,i+1}^\epsilon}\right) + \frac{1}{4\epsilon} g^{(-1)}\left(\frac{\lambda\mu^{2\epsilon}}{s_{i,i+1}^\epsilon}\right) \right]$$

- with the cusp anomalous dimension $f(\lambda)$ and collinear ad $g(\lambda)$

$$\left(\lambda \frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)^2 f^{(-2)}(\lambda) = f(\lambda), \quad \lambda \frac{d}{d\lambda} g^{(-1)}(\lambda) = g(\lambda)$$

Wilson loops

- specified by light-like segments proportional to gluon-momenta with expectation value

$$\langle W_{\{k_i\}} \rangle = \text{Div}' + \text{finite}$$

- divergent terms from UV divergencies at the cusps of the Wilson lines

Gluon Amp./Wilson loop

- The leading poles $\sim 1/\epsilon^2$ agree. They are related to the anomalous dimension of twist-two operators $f \sim \Delta(\text{Tr}(\mathcal{Z}\mathcal{D}^M\mathcal{Z}))$
- the functions $g(\lambda)$ are different! \rightarrow virtual scaling function

(BDS conjecture is not valid for $n \geq 6$)

- leading poles are given by cusp anomalous dimension $f(g)$, which in turn is equal to the anomalous dimension of twist-two operators

Twist operators in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ sYM

- can be represented by doping covariant light-cone derivatives \mathcal{D} into protected 1/2-BPS state $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{Z}^L)$
- spin- M , twist- L operator takes the form $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{D}^{s_1} \mathcal{Z} \mathcal{D}^{s_2} \mathcal{Z} \dots \mathcal{D}^{s_L} \mathcal{Z})$ with $s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_L = M$,
- anomalous dimension are given by energy eigenvalues of a length- L non-compact $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ spin chain with M magnons underlying a factorized scattering
- use Bethe ansatz to diagonalize spin chain Hamiltonian and compute anomalous dimension

- wrapping effects at order g^{2L+4} not captured by Bethe ansatz
- How can we compute $f(g)$ for $L = 2$ to all orders?

[Belitsky, Gorsky, Korchemsky]

- anomalous dimension of twist operators grow logarithmic with spin M , $\gamma_L(g, M) = f(g) \log M + \dots$
 - scaling function $f(g)$ is independent of the twist L and universal
-
- that implies $f_2 = f_3 = \dots = f_\infty$, which can be determined by asymptotic Bethe ansatz
 - $f(g)$ is an all loop result

Twist-two $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{ZD}^M \mathcal{Z})$ and Bethe ansatz [Beisert, Eden, Staudacher]

$$\left(\frac{x_k^+}{x_k^-}\right)^2 = \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^M \frac{x_k^- - x_j^+}{x_k^+ - x_j^-} \frac{1 - g^2/x_k^+ x_j^-}{1 - g^2/x_k^- x_j^+} \exp(2i\theta(u_k, u_j)), \quad \prod_{k=1}^M \frac{x_k^+}{x_k^-} = 1.$$

leads to integral equation for $f(g)$ in the large spin limit $M \rightarrow \infty$

- the scaling function is given by $f(g) = 16g^2 \hat{\sigma}(0)$ where

$$\hat{\sigma}(t) = \frac{t}{e^t - 1} \left[K(2gt, 0) - 4g^2 \int_0^\infty dt' K(2gt, 2gt') \hat{\sigma}(t') \right],$$

the integral kernel $K(t, t') = K_0(t, t') + K_1(t, t') + K_d(t, t')$ is given by

$$K_0(t, t') = \frac{2}{tt'} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n-1) J_{2n-1}(t) J_{2n-1}(t'), \quad K_1(t, t') = \frac{2}{tt'} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n) J_{2n}(t) J_{2n}(t'),$$

$$K_d(t, t') = 8g^2 \int_0^\infty dt'' K_1(t, 2gt'') \frac{t''}{e^{t''} - 1} K_0(2gt'', t').$$

- Fredholm integral equation of 2nd kind, at weak coupling the equation can be solved iteratively by expanding in g
- first few orders are obtained very fast

$$f(g) = 8g^2 - \frac{8\pi^2}{3}g^4 + \frac{88\pi^4}{45}g^6 - \left(\frac{584\pi^6}{315} + 64\zeta_3^2\right)g^8 + \dots$$

- it agrees to four-loops with the leading singularities of the gluon amplitudes [Bern,Dixon,Smirnov] [Chachazo,Spradlin,Volovich]
- at five-loops it agrees with Padé approximation estimates [Bern,Czakon,Dixon,Kosower,Smirnov]

weak coupling

f has finite radius of convergence
 $r_c = 1/4$

strong coupling and string theory

f becomes an asymptotic series
 $r_c = 0$

- Fredholm integral equation of 2nd kind, at weak coupling the equation can be solved iteratively by expanding in g
- first few orders are obtained very fast

$$f(g) = 8g^2 - \frac{8\pi^2}{3}g^4 + \frac{88\pi^4}{45}g^6 - \left(\frac{584\pi^6}{315} + 64\zeta_3^2\right)g^8 + \dots$$

- it agrees to four-loops with the leading singularities of the gluon amplitudes [Bern,Dixon,Smirnov] [Chachazo,Spradlin,Volovich]
- at five-loops it agrees with Padé approximation estimates [Bern,Czakon,Dixon,Kosower,Smirnov]

weak coupling

f has finite radius of convergence
 $r_c = 1/4$

strong coupling and string theory

f becomes an asymptotic series
 $r_c = 0$

- Fredholm integral equation of 2nd kind, at weak coupling the equation can be solved iteratively by expanding in g
- first few orders are obtained very fast

$$f(g) = 8g^2 - \frac{8\pi^2}{3}g^4 + \frac{88\pi^4}{45}g^6 - \left(\frac{584\pi^6}{315} + 64\zeta_3^2\right)g^8 + \dots$$

- it agrees to four-loops with the leading singularities of the gluon amplitudes [Bern,Dixon,Smirnov] [Chachazo,Spradlin,Volovich]
- at five-loops it agrees with Padé approximation estimates [Bern,Czakon,Dixon,Kosower,Smirnov]

weak coupling

f has finite radius of convergence
 $r_c = 1/4$

strong coupling and string theory

f becomes an asymptotic series
 $r_c = 0$

much effort was spent to analyze the integral equation at strong coupling

[Lipatov,Kotikov], [Benna,Benvenuti,Klebanov,Scardicchio], [Alday,Arutyunov,Benna,Eden,Klebanov],
[Kostov,Serban,Volin], [Basso,Korchemsky,Kotanski], [Kostov,Serban,Volin], ...

strong coupling limit

- strong coupling expansion is given by

$$f(g) = 4g - \frac{3 \log 2}{\pi} - \frac{1}{g} \frac{K}{4\pi^2} - \frac{1}{g^2} \left(\frac{3K \log 2}{16\pi^3} + \frac{27\zeta_3}{512\pi^3} \right) + \dots$$

- agrees with known string data [Gubser,Klebanov,Polyakov], [Frolov,Tseytlin],
[Roiban,Tirziu,Tseytlin], [Roiban,Tseytlin], first interpolating function

What?

- twist L operators can be represented by $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{D}^M \mathcal{Z}^L)$
- the large spin scaling behavior of anomalous dimension is given by

$$\gamma_L(g, M) = f(g)(\log M) + B_L(g) + \dots$$

- in analogy with the QCD splitting function we call B_L the virtual part
- for finite values of the spin, anomalous dim. can be computed for twist-two and -three operators in terms of harmonic sums analytical by solving the Baxter equation [Kotikov,Rej,Zieme]
- for twist L operators wrapping effects are expected to enter the an. dim. at order g^{2L+4}
- A twist depended quantity can not be computed from the Bethe ansatz correctly to all orders! (?)

Wrapping Contributions

the four-loop anomalous dimension of the Konishi operator, $L = M = 2$, has been computed from

- the asymptotic dilatation generator [Fiamberti,Santambrogio,Sieg,Zanon]
- finite-size corrections to the ABA using Lüscher formulas [Bajnok,Janik]
- 131.015 Feynman diagrams [Velizhanin]

they all agree!

Twist-two general spin M

- using the Lüscher formulas the four-loop anomalous dimension has been computed for general values of the spin M [Bajnok,Janik,Lukowski]
- the result agrees with pole structure predicted by the BFKL equation
- leading transcendental part agrees with *full direct* computation [Velizhanin]

Katze im Sack

- the result is given by

$$\gamma_4^{\text{wrap}} = 128S_1^2(2S_{-5}-2S_5+4S_{-2,-3}-4S_{3,-2}+4S_{4,1}-8S_{-2,-2,1}-5\zeta_5-3S_{-2}\zeta_3)$$

- for $M \rightarrow \infty$ it behaves like $\gamma_4^{\text{wrap}} \sim \log^2 M/M^2$ [Beccaria,Forini]

Twist-three at five loops [Beccaria,Forini,Lukowski,Zieme]

- γ_5 computed with ABA, max. transcendentality, reciprocity, Lüscher, agrees with Y-System, [▶ result](#)
- not related to BFKL, but poles at $M = -2$ can be resummed and agree with NLO conjecture [Kotikov,Lipatov,Rej, Staudacher,Velizhanin]
- again for large spin $\gamma_5^{\text{wrap}} \sim \log^2 M/M^2$

The finite order corrections $\mathcal{O}(M^0)$ can be computed to all orders from the ABA. $B_L(g) = L h_1(g) + h_2(g)$



Katze im Sack

- the result is given by

$$\gamma_4^{\text{wrap}} = 128S_1^2(2S_{-5}-2S_5+4S_{-2,-3}-4S_{3,-2}+4S_{4,1}-8S_{-2,-2,1}-5\zeta_5-3S_{-2}\zeta_3)$$

- for $M \rightarrow \infty$ it behaves like $\gamma_4^{\text{wrap}} \sim \log^2 M/M^2$ [Beccaria,Forini]

Twist-three at five loops [Beccaria,Forini,Lukowski,Zieme]

- γ_5 computed with ABA, max. transcendentality, reciprocity, Lüscher, agrees with Y-System, [▶ result](#)
- not related to BFKL, but poles at $M = -2$ can be resummed and agree with NLO conjecture [Kotikov,Lipatov,Rej, Staudacher,Velizhanin]
- again for large spin $\gamma_5^{\text{wrap}} \sim \log^2 M/M^2$

The finite order corrections $\mathcal{O}(M^0)$ can be computed to all orders from the ABA. $B_L(g) = L h_1(g) + h_2(g)$



Katze im Sack

- the result is given by

$$\gamma_4^{\text{wrap}} = 128S_1^2(2S_{-5}-2S_5+4S_{-2,-3}-4S_{3,-2}+4S_{4,1}-8S_{-2,-2,1}-5\zeta_5-3S_{-2}\zeta_3)$$

- for $M \rightarrow \infty$ it behaves like $\gamma_4^{\text{wrap}} \sim \log^2 M/M^2$ [Beccaria,Forini]

Twist-three at five loops [Beccaria,Forini,Lukowski,Zieme]

- γ_5 computed with ABA, max. transcendentality, reciprocity, Lüscher, agrees with Y-System, ▶ result
- not related to BFKL, but poles at $M = -2$ can be resummed and agree with NLO conjecture [Kotikov,Lipatov,Rej, Staudacher,Velizhanin]
- again for large spin $\gamma_5^{\text{wrap}} \sim \log^2 M/M^2$

The finite order corrections $\mathcal{O}(M^0)$ can be computed to all orders from the ABA. $B_L(g) = L h_1(g) + h_2(g)$



How do we compute these corrections?

- tricky from the Bethe ansatz
- we start from the non-linear integral equation (NLIE) of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ for the counting function that takes into account 'hidden' degrees of freedom [Freyhult,Rej,Staudacher] (roots of the transfer matrix)
- 'off-shell' version of one-loop Bethe equations

From the NLIE one can derive an integral equation including $\mathcal{O}(M^0)$ corrections

$$\hat{\sigma}(t) = \frac{t}{e^t - 1} \left[K(2gt, 0)(\log M + \gamma_E - (L - 2) \log 2) - \frac{L}{8g^2 t} (J_0(2gt) - 1) \right. \\
 \left. + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty dt' \left(\frac{2}{e^{t'} - 1} - \frac{L - 2}{e^{t'/2} + 1} \right) (K(2gt, 2gt') - K(2gt, 0)) \right. \\
 \left. - 4g^2 \int_0^\infty dt' K(2gt, 2gt') \hat{\sigma}(t') \right],$$

- anomalous dimension given by $\gamma_L(g, M) = 16g^2 \hat{\sigma}(0)$
- $\gamma_L(g, M) = f(g)(\log M + \gamma_E - (L - 2) \log 2) + B_L(g) + \dots$
- at weak coupling easy to expand and to solve iteratively
- to solve at strong coupling face the same trouble as before, try to map it to functions that determine $f(g)$ [▶ solution](#)
- drop the part $\sim f(g)$, which has already been analyzed

Strong Coupling Expansion

- virtual part is given by $B_L(g) = 16g^2\gamma_1^{(1)}(g)$ ▶ B_2

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1^{(1)}(g) = & \frac{1}{16g^2}(L-2)\epsilon_1(g) + \gamma_1^{(0)}(L-2)\log 2 - \gamma_1^{(0)}(\gamma_E + \log g) \\ & - \frac{1}{4g} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{2k} \Gamma_{2k} - \frac{1}{4g} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{2k-1} \Gamma_{2k-1} \end{aligned}$$

- 1st generalized scaling function $\epsilon_1(g) = -1 + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\pi g})$
[Basso, Korchemsky], [Fioravanti, Grinza, Rossi]
- Γ_k are functions that determine the cusp anomalous dimension
- sums can be performed

two-cusp spinning string $L = 2$

- with strong coupling $f(g) = 4g - 3 \log 2/\pi$ and $g = \sqrt{\lambda}/4\pi$ we predict string energy up to one-loop

$$E - S = L + \gamma_L\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi}, S\right)\Big|_{L=2} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi} - \frac{3 \log 2}{\pi}\right) \log \frac{4\pi S}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}(\log 2 - 1) + 1 + \frac{6 \log 2}{\pi} - \frac{3(\log 2)^2}{\pi},$$

- and determine the constant c of [Beccaria, Forini, Tirziu, Tseytlin] to be $c = 6 \log 2 + \pi$ in agreement with algebraic curve approach [Gromov]

Proof of $B_L(g) = L h_1(g) + h_2(g)$

To take the strong coupling limit, we had to resum *all* orders at weak coupling!

more strong coupling, where $c_1 = \frac{3 \log 2}{4\pi}$

$$B_2(g + c_1) = (\log \frac{2}{g} - \gamma_E) f(g + c_1) - 4g - 1 + \frac{1}{g} \frac{K}{2\pi^2} - \frac{1}{g^2} \frac{9\zeta(3)}{2^8\pi^3} \\ + \frac{1}{g^3} \left(\frac{9\beta(4)}{2^7\pi^4} - \frac{K^2}{2^7\pi^4} \right) - \frac{1}{g^4} \left(\frac{6831\zeta(5)}{2^{18}\pi^5} - \frac{423K\zeta(3)}{2^{13}\pi^5} \right) + \mathcal{O}(1/g^5)$$

more spin

use explicit holes (roots of transfer matrix) to derive from NLIE

$$\gamma_2(g, M) = f(g) \left(\log M + \gamma_E + \frac{f(g)}{2} \frac{\log M + \gamma_E}{M} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1 + B_2(g)}{2M} \right) + B_2(g) + \dots,$$

agrees with string theory [Beccaria, Forini, Tirziu, Tseytlin] and reciprocity

[Basso, Korchemsky]

more strong coupling, where $c_1 = \frac{3 \log 2}{4\pi}$

$$B_2(g + c_1) = (\log \frac{2}{g} - \gamma_E) f(g + c_1) - 4g - 1 + \frac{1}{g} \frac{K}{2\pi^2} - \frac{1}{g^2} \frac{9\zeta(3)}{2^8\pi^3} \\ + \frac{1}{g^3} \left(\frac{9\beta(4)}{2^7\pi^4} - \frac{K^2}{2^7\pi^4} \right) - \frac{1}{g^4} \left(\frac{6831\zeta(5)}{2^{18}\pi^5} - \frac{423K\zeta(3)}{2^{13}\pi^5} \right) + \mathcal{O}(1/g^5)$$

more spin

use explicit holes (roots of transfer matrix) to derive from NLIE

$$\gamma_2(g, M) = f(g) \left(\log M + \gamma_E + \frac{f(g) \log M + \gamma_E}{2} \frac{1}{M} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1 + B_2(g)}{2M} \right) + B_2(g) + \dots,$$

agrees with string theory [Beccaria, Forini, Tirziu, Tseytlin] and reciprocity

[Basso, Korchemsky]

Gluon Amplitudes/Wilson loops

- leading poles identical, subleading singularities are different
- they differ by $B_2(g)$

[Dixon, Magnea, Sterman]

$$G = G_{eik} + B_2$$

- consistent with available data [Dixon et al], [Korchemsky et al], two-loop $n = 4, 5$ cusp Wilson loop
- gluon amplitudes '3/8-solved'

Summary

- determined finite order correction to large spin asymptotic of anomalous dimension of twist operators at weak and strong coupling
- at strong coupling and twist $L = 2$ we reproduce string theory result
 - also reproduce $\log M$, $1/M$ and $\log M/M$ string results, they depend on $f(g)$ and $B_2(g)$ in agreement with reciprocity
 - obtained a further interpolating function of AdS/CFT
- determined the difference between subleading poles of gluon amplitudes and Wilson loops
- gluon amplitudes ' $\frac{3}{8}$ -solved'

Outlook

String Theory

- compute higher orders in $1/g$?
- compute subleading spin correction of spiky string configurations



Gluon Amplitudes/Wilson loops

- Which operator hides behind G_{eik} in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ sYM?
- Can we solve gluon amplitudes at least to '1/2'?

Outlook

String Theory

- compute higher orders in $1/g$?
- compute subleading spin correction of spiky string configurations



Gluon Amplitudes/Wilson loops

- Which operator hides behind G_{eik} in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ sYM?
- Can we solve gluon amplitudes at least to '1/2'?

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma_{10}^{\text{ABA}} = & 136S_9 + 368S_{1,8} + 2832S_{2,7} + 4272S_{3,6} + 848S_{4,5} - 3024S_{5,4} - 2736S_{6,3} - 1168S_{7,2} \\
 & - 496S_{8,1} - 5376S_{1,1,7} - 12352S_{1,2,6} - 8832S_{1,3,5} + 1600S_{1,4,4} + 3968S_{1,5,3} - 64S_{1,6,2} \\
 & - 1344S_{1,7,1} - 12352S_{2,1,6} - 13760S_{2,2,5} - 2112S_{2,3,4} + 4288S_{2,4,3} - 960S_{2,5,2} - 5440S_{2,6,1} \\
 & - 9088S_{3,1,5} - 2432S_{3,2,4} + 5120S_{3,3,3} + 2688S_{3,4,2} - 4160S_{3,5,1} + 1280S_{4,1,4} + 5824S_{4,2,3} \\
 & + 6400S_{4,3,2} + 2112S_{4,4,1} + 5120S_{5,1,3} + 6208S_{5,2,2} + 5312S_{5,3,1} + 3904S_{6,1,2} + 3904S_{6,2,1} \\
 & + 1728S_{7,1,1} + 21504S_{1,1,1,6} + 22784S_{1,1,2,5} + 5632S_{1,1,3,4} - 1280S_{1,1,4,3} + 6912S_{1,1,5,2} \\
 & + 11520S_{1,1,6,1} + 22784S_{1,2,1,5} + 9088S_{1,2,2,4} - 1024S_{1,2,3,3} + 6784S_{1,2,4,2} + 17152S_{1,2,5,1} \\
 & + 5504S_{1,3,1,4} - 3456S_{1,3,2,3} - 1536S_{1,3,3,2} + 7680S_{1,3,4,1} - 4480S_{1,4,1,3} - 6272S_{1,4,2,2} \\
 & - 3584S_{1,4,3,1} - 3840S_{1,5,1,2} - 3840S_{1,5,2,1} + 768S_{1,6,1,1} + 22784S_{2,1,1,5} + 9088S_{2,1,2,4} \\
 & - 1024S_{2,1,3,3} + 6784S_{2,1,4,2} + 17152S_{2,1,5,1} + 9088S_{2,2,1,4} - 2688S_{2,2,2,3} + 640S_{2,2,3,2} \\
 & + 13440S_{2,2,4,1} - 3456S_{2,3,1,3} - 7040S_{2,3,2,2} - 768S_{2,3,3,1} - 4480S_{2,4,1,2} - 4480S_{2,4,2,1} \\
 & + 2816S_{2,5,1,1} + 6272S_{3,1,1,4} - 2944S_{3,1,2,3} - 1536S_{3,1,3,2} + 7936S_{3,1,4,1} - 2944S_{3,2,1,3} \\
 & - 7296S_{3,2,2,2} - 768S_{3,2,3,1} - 6656S_{3,3,1,2} - 6656S_{3,3,2,1} - 1024S_{3,4,1,1} - 3968S_{4,1,1,3} \\
 & - 6528S_{4,1,2,2} - 3584S_{4,1,3,1} - 6528S_{4,2,1,2} - 6528S_{4,2,2,1} - 4864S_{4,3,1,1} - 5376S_{5,1,1,2} \\
 & - 5376S_{5,1,2,1} - 5376S_{5,2,1,1} - 4608S_{6,1,1,1} - 32768S_{1,1,1,1,5} - 10240S_{1,1,1,2,4} - 3072S_{1,1,1,3,3} \\
 & - 17920S_{1,1,1,4,2} - 30720S_{1,1,1,5,1} - 10240S_{1,1,2,1,4} - 8704S_{1,1,2,3,2} - 24064S_{1,1,2,4,1}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+1024S_{1,1,3,1,3} + 2560S_{1,1,3,2,2} - 4096S_{1,1,3,3,1} - 512S_{1,1,4,1,2} - 512S_{1,1,4,2,1} - 10240S_{1,1,5,1,1} \\
 &-10240S_{1,2,1,1,4} - 8704S_{1,2,1,3,2} - 24064S_{1,2,1,4,1} + 3072S_{1,2,2,2,2} - 6656S_{1,2,2,3,1} \\
 &+512S_{1,2,3,1,2} + 512S_{1,2,3,2,1} - 10752S_{1,2,4,1,1} + 1024S_{1,3,1,1,3} + 3072S_{1,3,1,2,2} - 3584S_{1,3,1,3,1} \\
 &+3072S_{1,3,2,1,2} + 3072S_{1,3,2,2,1} - 2560S_{1,3,3,1,1} + 3072S_{1,4,1,1,2} + 3072S_{1,4,1,2,1} + 3072S_{1,4,2,1,1} \\
 &+3072S_{1,5,1,1,1} - 10240S_{2,1,1,1,4} - 8704S_{2,1,1,3,2} - 24064S_{2,1,1,4,1} + 3072S_{2,1,2,2,2} \\
 &-6656S_{2,1,2,3,1} + 512S_{2,1,3,1,2} + 512S_{2,1,3,2,1} - 10752S_{2,1,4,1,1} + 3072S_{2,2,1,2,2} - 6656S_{2,2,1,3,1} \\
 &+3072S_{2,2,2,1,2} + 3072S_{2,2,2,2,1} - 5632S_{2,2,3,1,1} + 3072S_{2,3,1,1,2} + 3072S_{2,3,1,2,1} + 3072S_{2,3,2,1,1} \\
 &+3072S_{2,4,1,1,1} + 3072S_{3,1,1,2,2} - 4096S_{3,1,1,3,1} + 3072S_{3,1,2,1,2} + 3072S_{3,1,2,2,1} - 2560S_{3,1,3,1,1} \\
 &+3072S_{3,2,1,1,2} + 3072S_{3,2,1,2,1} + 3072S_{3,2,2,1,1} + 4608S_{3,3,1,1,1} + 3072S_{4,1,1,1,2} + 3072S_{4,1,1,2,1} \\
 &+3072S_{4,1,2,1,1} + 3072S_{4,2,1,1,1} + 3072S_{5,1,1,1,1} + 16384S_{1,1,1,1,3,2} + 32768S_{1,1,1,1,4,1} \\
 &+8192S_{1,1,1,2,3,1} + 4096S_{1,1,1,3,1,2} + 4096S_{1,1,1,3,2,1} + 20480S_{1,1,1,4,1,1} + 8192S_{1,1,2,1,3,1} \\
 &+12288S_{1,1,2,3,1,1} + 8192S_{1,2,1,1,3,1} + 12288S_{1,2,1,3,1,1} + 8192S_{2,1,1,1,3,1} + 12288S_{2,1,1,3,1,1} \\
 &-16384S_{1,1,1,1,3,1,1} + \zeta_3 (896S_6 - 2304S_{1,5} - 1792S_{2,4} - 768S_{3,3} - 1792S_{4,2} - 2304S_{5,1} \\
 &+2560S_{1,1,4} + 512S_{1,2,3} + 1536S_{1,3,2} + 3584S_{1,4,1} + 512S_{2,1,3} + 1536S_{2,3,1} + 512S_{3,1,2} \\
 &+512S_{3,2,1} + 2560S_{4,1,1} - 2048S_{1,1,3,1} - 2048S_{1,3,1,1}) + 1280\zeta_5 (S_{1,3} + S_{3,1} - S_4) \\
 &\gamma^{wrap} = -64 S_1^2 (35\zeta_7 - 40S_2\zeta_5 + (-8S_4 + 16S_{2,2})\zeta_3 + 2S_7 - 4S_{2,5} - 2S_{3,4} - 4S_{4,3} \\
 &\quad - 2S_{6,1} + 8S_{2,2,3} + 4S_{3,3,1}) .
 \end{aligned}$$

- decompose into parity even/odd parts, introduce a dummy index,

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \left[\frac{\gamma_+(t,j)}{1 - e^{-t/2g}} - \frac{\gamma_-(t,j)}{e^{t/2g} - 1} \right] J_{2n}(t) = \frac{jL}{8ng} + jh_{2n},$$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \left[\frac{\gamma_-(t,j)}{1 - e^{-t/2g}} + \frac{\gamma_+(t,j)}{e^{t/2g} - 1} \right] J_{2n-1}(t) = \frac{1-j}{2} \delta_{n,1} + jh_{2n-1}$$

with $h_n = h_n(g)$ given by

$$h_n(g) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{4} \left(\frac{2}{e^t - 1} - \frac{L-2}{e^{t/2} + 1} \right) \left(\frac{J_n(2gt)}{gt} - \delta_{n,1} \right).$$

For $j = 0$ one recovers the solution of the BES equation, while $j = 1$ leads to the system of equations that determines $B_L(g)$.

how to solve...

- choose some reference j' and multiply both sides of the system with $(2n)\gamma_{2n}(g, j')$ and $(2n-1)\gamma_{2n-1}(g, j')$, respectively
- sum over all $n \geq 1$, make use of the expansion formulas of the even/odd parts $\gamma_{\pm}(t) = 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} (2n) J_{2n}(t) \gamma_{2n}$
- two equations for the even/odd parts $\gamma_{\pm}(t, j')$, subtract the even from odd part \rightarrow integral kernel invariant under $j \leftrightarrow j'$ as such should be its solution, can be used to obtain $B_L(g) = 16g^2 \gamma_1(g, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_L(g) &= 4g^2 \int_0^{\infty} dt \left[\frac{2}{e^t - 1} - \frac{L-2}{e^{t/2} + 1} \right] \\
 &\times \left[\frac{\gamma_-^{(0)}(2gt) - \gamma_+^{(0)}(2gt)}{gt} - 2\gamma_1^{(0)}(g) \right] - 4gL \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \gamma_+^{(0)}(2gt)
 \end{aligned}$$

- $B_L(g) = -8g^4(7 - 2L)\zeta(3) + \mathcal{O}(g^6)$ agrees with max. transc. part of QCD splitting function [Moch, Vermaseren, Voigt]

for twist $L=2$ one finds

$$B_2(g) = (-\gamma_E - \log g)f(g) - 4g(1 - \log 2) \\ - \left(1 - \frac{6 \log 2}{\pi} + \frac{3(\log 2)^2}{\pi}\right) + \mathcal{O}(1/g).$$

◀ B_L