# <u>Lecture 1:</u> <u>Chi-Squared & Some Basics</u>

D. Jason Koskinen koskinen@nbi.ku.dk

Advanced Methods in Applied Statistics Feb - Apr 2022

Photo by Howard Jackman University of Copenhagen

Niels Bohr Institute

#### Variance

Because it's something we all should know

$$\sigma^2 \equiv \langle (X - \mu)^2 \rangle \qquad \qquad \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

 $\sigma^2$  is the variance

- $\mu_{\rm expected}$  value is the mean, which can sometimes also be the
- N is the number of data points
- $x_i$  is the individual observed data points

2

#### Unbiased Variance

• Just because it's something we all should know

$$S_{N-1} \equiv \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

 $S_{N-1}$  is the 'unbiased' estimator of the variance

- $ar{x}$  is the mean calculated from the data itself
- N is the number of data points
- $x_i$  is the individual observed data points

For further information on 1/(N-1) see Bessel's correction wikipedia

#### **Probability Distribution Function**

 Probability Distribution Functions (PDF), where sometimes the "D" is density, is the probability of an outcome or value given a certain variable range



• The PDF does not have be nicely described by a single continuous equation

#### **Probability Distribution Function**

 The PDF does not have be nicely described w/ equations, and sometimes cannot be



### PDFs

- They can be discrete, f(x) continuous, or a combination
- They often have an implied conditionality
  - "What is the energy of an outgoing electron from nuclear beta-decay?"
    f(x) implies beta-decay
  - PDF should be normalized to 'one'





 Let's imagine an experiment which has two identical electron traps (A & B) separated by a finite barrier. An electron w/ energy below the barrier threshold is deposited in trap A. Sketch out the PDF of the x position after a very short time.



time  $\approx \frac{1}{\infty}$ 

- Sketch out the PDF of the x position after a very short time.
  - My trap has a potential which keeps it mostly in the middle of the trap, and it's mostly in trap A because it hasn't had time to tunnel.





• Sketch out the PDF of the x position after a near infinitely long time.

time  $\approx \infty$ 

- Sketch out the PDF of the x position after a near infinitely long time.
  - Same distribution shape as before, but now the probability of being in trap A and trap B are equal.
  - Had to renormalize the PDF

time  $\approx \infty$ 



• Notice that there are discontinuities in the PDF, which is not uncommon in experimental PDFs due to boundary conditions. How many discontinuities as a function of x?

time  $\approx \infty$ 



#### Some PDF Remarks

- Previous examples are univariate PDFs, i.e. probability only as a function of a single variable (x), but the PDF comes from a multivariate situation
  - Multivariate, because the PDF doesn't just depend on x, but also the time of the measurement, energy of the electron, barrier height, etc.
  - We'll stick with univariate (or at least 1-dimensional unchanging PDFs) initially, before moving onto more complex situations later in the course
- Probability distribution functions can be used to not only derive the most likely outcome, but having recorded the outcome figure out the mostly likely situation. For example, if we record a single electron at a position in trap B, it is more likely that the data was taken at t=∞ versus t=1/∞

#### **Cumulative Distribution Function**

- The Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF) is related to the PDF and gives the probability that a variable (x) is less than some value x<sub>0</sub>
- Basically, the integral or sum from -infinity to  $x_0$

$$CDF = F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x_0} f(x)dx$$

where f(x) is the PDF

#### **Cumulative Distribution Function**

• The Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF) is related to the PDF and gives the probability that a variable (x) is less than some value x<sub>0</sub>



#### **Empirical Distribution Function**

- The Empirical Distribution Function (EDF) is similar to the CDF, but constructed from data
  - Used in methods we'll cover later, e.g. the Kolmogrov-Smirnov test
  - Much less common than the CDF or PDF



#### Gaussian PDF

• Gaussian Probability Distribution Function (PDF) only relies on the mean ( $\mu$ ) and the standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) of a sample

$$f(X;\mu,\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{(X-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$



#### Gaussian PDF

• Gaussian is one of the single most common PDFs, in part because of the Central Limit Theorem (CLT)

$$f(X;\mu,\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{(X-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$



#### Central Limit Theorem

- Because the central aim is the practical application of analyses techniques, we will not be overly concerned with theorems, math proofs, and theoretical derivations. This is an **applied** methods course.
- In loose terms, the CLT says that for a large number of measurements of a continuous variable X done in batches\*, the distribution of the batch means X will be approximately gaussian.
  - Even if the underlying PDF (or joint PDFs) of X are not themselves gaussian

\*As a rule of thumb, the batch size should be  $\geq$ 30

#### Statistical Tests

• Chi-squared test

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(Observed - Expected)^2}{(Expected \ Uncertainty)^2}$$

• Often, the  $\chi^2$  is shown assuming N observations across some range of values (i)

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i} \frac{(N_{i,obs} - N_{i,exp})^2}{\sigma_{i,exp}^2}$$

• If the uncertainties are only statistical, and N is large enough that  $\sigma_{i,exp} = \sqrt{N_{i,exp}}$ , then we get the conventional

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i} \frac{(N_{i,obs} - N_{i,exp})^2}{N_{i,exp}}$$

### Chi-Squared

- The Chi-squared lets us know how far away our observed data is from our expectation(s)
  - The denominator is the uncertainty^2, so the entire  $\chi^2$  is always calculated relative to the total uncertainty
  - The total uncertainty is a combination of the statistical uncertainty **AND** any systematic uncertainty



#### Basic Reduced Chi-Square

• Each data point has an associated approximate difference to the expectation of:  $1.1\sigma$ ,  $0.25\sigma$ , and  $0.1\sigma$ . So the total is 1.35 and with 3 data points, we get an approximate reduced chi-square of ~0.4-0.5.



Chi-By-Eye



Chi-By-Eye

#### doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.241101

#### Detection of *B*-Mode Polarization at Degree Angular Scales by BICEP2

P. A. R. Ade,<sup>1</sup> R. W. Aikin,<sup>2</sup> D. Barkats,<sup>3</sup> S. J. Benton,<sup>4</sup> C. A. Bischoff,<sup>5</sup> J. J. Bock,<sup>2,6</sup> J. A. Brevik,<sup>2</sup> I. Buder,<sup>5</sup> E. Bullock,<sup>7</sup> C. D. Dowell,<sup>6</sup> L. Duband,<sup>8</sup> J. P. Filippini,<sup>2</sup> S. Fliescher,<sup>9</sup> S. R. Golwala,<sup>2</sup> M. Halpern,<sup>10</sup> M. Hasselfield,<sup>10</sup> S. R. Hildebrandt,<sup>2,6</sup> G. C. Hilton,<sup>11</sup> V. V. Hristov,<sup>2</sup> K. D. Irwin,<sup>12,13,11</sup> K. S. Karkare,<sup>5</sup> J. P. Kaufman,<sup>14</sup> B. G. Keating,<sup>14</sup> S. A. Kernasovskiy,<sup>12</sup> J. M. Kovac,<sup>5,\*</sup> C. L. Kuo,<sup>12,13</sup> E. M. Leitch,<sup>15</sup> M. Lueker,<sup>2</sup> P. Mason,<sup>2</sup> C. B. Netterfield,<sup>4,16</sup> H. T. Nguyen,<sup>6</sup> R. O'Brient,<sup>6</sup> R. W. Ogburn IV,<sup>12,13</sup> A. Orlando,<sup>14</sup> C. Pryke,<sup>9,7,†</sup> C. D. Reintsema,<sup>11</sup> S. Richter,<sup>5</sup> R. Schwarz,<sup>9</sup> C. D. Sheehy,<sup>9,15</sup> Z. K. Staniszewski,<sup>2,6</sup> R. V. Sudiwala,<sup>1</sup> G. P. Teply,<sup>2</sup> J. E. Tolan,<sup>12</sup> A. D. Turner,<sup>6</sup> A. G. Vieregg,<sup>5,15</sup> C. L. Wong,<sup>5</sup> and K. W. Yoon<sup>12,13</sup> (BICEP2 Collaboration)



## Gaussian/Poisson Uncertainty is Everywhere

- Thanks to basic statistics, and Siméon Poisson, an estimate of the uncertainty on data points is generically sqrt(number of events). It works because almost all data is at some level a collection of discrete events.
  - Does not include the impact of systematic uncertainties
  - Does not include the impact of any biases either
  - Works better for larger number of events than smaller
- When in doubt, take the square root of something

#### Exercise 1

- Read in data from "FranksNumbers.txt"
  - There is some non-numeric text in the file, so data parsing is important
  - Use any methods and/or combinations of coding languages which work(s) for you
    - Parse data in python, analyze in MatLab
    - Parse data and analyze in R
    - Parse data in C, analyze in Fortran (not recommended, but possible)
    - Copy/paste using spreadsheets (Excel, OpenOffice, etc.) is discouraged because the data is already in .txt files, and reading in .txt files is a very important skill
    - Note that a future data set has 1.28M entries, which will kill a spreadsheet
- Calculate the mean and variance for each data set in the file
  - There should be 5 unique data sets

http://www.nbi.dk/~koskinen/Teaching/AdvancedMethodsInAppliedStatistics2022/AMAS.html

#### Exercise 1 pt.2

- Using the eq. y=x\*0.48 + 3.02, calculate the Pearson's  $\chi^2$  for each data set
  - Write your own method
  - Bonus: use a class or external package to get value
- Using the same equation, calculate a  $\chi^2$  where the uncertainty on each data point is ±1.22
- From the two  $\chi^2$ , which uncertainty shows better agreement with the data?
  - ±1.22 or sqrt(events)?

#### Discussion/Comments

• What values did people get for the  $\chi^2$ ?

• Do not use a test statistic, such as the  $\chi^2$ , to estimate or assign statical uncertainties or systematic uncertainties on experimental derived data

#### Some chi-squared Remarks

- A chi-squared distribution is based on gaussian 'errors', so beware when errors/uncertainties are not gaussian. For example:
  - Low statistics
  - Biases in the data can also produce non-gaussianity
- The concept that a reduced chi-squared near 1 is 'good' depends strongly on the degrees of freedom (DoF) and/or data
  - A reduced chi-squared of 1.2 w/ 20 DoF is not a cause for concern
  - 1.2 w/ 1000 DoF is very, very bad and incredibly unlikely

#### Conclusion

- Know your distribution functions (probability, cumulative, and empirical)
- Central Limit Theorem says that means of most variables will produce a gaussian distribution of the mean value for a large numbers of measurements
- Chi-square(d) calculation is a frequent metric for goodness-of-fit and quantitative data/hypothesis matching
- Very light load this week, so try and get your software working
  - If you have problems 'ask' classmates who have similar computer setups
  - If you have solutions help your classmates
- First problem set should be available now in Absalon
- Read "Not Normal: the uncertainties of scientific measurements", there will be a discussion next class

#### Extra

#### **Distribution Functions**

- Many nice illustrations for different functions at <u>https://</u> <u>commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Probability\_distribution</u>
- Many of the plots used in the lecture notes come from wikipedia (because it's a great resource)