Heat Profiling of Three-Dimensionally Optically Trapped Gold Nanoparticles using Vesicle Cargo Release
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ABSTRACT: Irradiated metallic nanoparticles hold great promise as heat transducers in photothermal applications such as drug delivery assays or photothermal therapy. We quantify the temperature increase of individual gold nanoparticles trapped in three dimensions near lipid vesicles exhibiting temperature sensitive permeability. The surface temperature can increase by hundreds of degrees Celsius even at moderate laser powers. Also, there are significant differences of the heat profiles in two-dimensional and three-dimensional trapping assays.
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Irradiation of a metallic nanoparticle results in excitation of surface plasmons giving rise to a significant heating. This effect can be advantageously used in biomedical contexts, for example, for photothermal cancer therapy or in nanothermal processing. The fact that a lipid vesicle becomes leaky at the phase transition has been used in connection with irradiated gold nanoparticles with the goal of triggering the release of encapsulated molecules. Recently, the temperature increase surrounding irradiated gold nanoparticles constrained to two dimensions (2D) through attachment to a supporting membrane was quantified by imaging a local lipid phase transition in the membrane caused by the heated particle. Here we show how to quantify the temperature surrounding a gold nanoparticle optically trapped in three dimensions in solution simply by measuring the distance between the particle and a leaking giant unilamellar vesicle (GUV). Comparing the temperature profiles of particles trapped in two or three dimensions reveals that particles are significantly dislocated from the center of the trap during three-dimensional trapping. Moreover, we demonstrate how optical trapping together with fluorescence microscopy can be combined to efficiently probe both permeability and molecular partitioning associated with phase transitions in GUVs, this with potential use for controlled cargo delivery.

Because of their large polarizability, individual metallic nanoparticles in aqueous suspensions are readily trapped by a tightly focused infrared laser beam, an optical trap. In the present experiments, gold nanoparticles with diameters between 60 and 200 nm were optically trapped. The optical trap was based on a Spectra Physics J201-BL-106C 1064 nm laser and implemented in a Leica SP5 confocal microscope. A Leica PL APO NA: 1.2 63× water immersion objective was used for all experiments.

Gold nanoparticles (British Biocell International) were prepared by sonicating 450 μL from each stock solution. To stabilize the particles, 0.25 mg thiolated PEG (Sigma Aldrich) was added to each suspension and agitated at 1000 rpm for 30 min. The particles were spun down and resuspended in 500 μL Millipore water.

To prepare the lipid bilayers 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (POPC, item 770557) and 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-biotinyl (Biotinyl PE, item 870277) lipids from Avanti Polar Lipids each at a concentration of 25 mg/mL were mixed in chloroform at a ratio of (100:1). The 0.4 mL chloroform solution was evaporated in a 5 mL glass vial and placed for two hours in a vacuum desiccator. Lipids were then rehydrated in 3 mL 0.1 M phosphate buffer saline (PBS) overnight (3.3 mg/mL of lipid) and extruded 9 times at a filter pore-size of 100 nm. This stock was used to form supported lipid bilayers in the sample chambers by adding 200 μL of lipid suspension on the glass coverslip and leaving it to settle overnight. The sample chambers were rinsed by flushing 10 times with Millipore water followed by 5 times with 0.1 M PBS. Neutravidin was added to a concentration of 0.2 μM and allowed to bind for 5 min and then washed 5 times with 0.1 M PBS without dehydrating the bilayer.

Giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) were formed using 1, 2-dipentadecanoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DC12:0PC, item 850350) and Biotinyl PE, both from Avanti Polar Lipids, and 1, 2-dihexadecanoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-Texas Red (TR conjugated PE, item T1395MP) from Invitrogen. They were mixed at the ratios 1000:1:30 in chloroform. One hundred
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microliters of 25 mg/mL solution was dripped into a custom-made Teflon cylindrical container and allowed to evaporate, followed by 2 h in a vacuum desiccator. Five milliliter of D-sorbitol was added at 37 °C and the container was kept at 37 °C for 3 h with gentle shaking every 30 min. The suspension was allowed to cool to room temperature and transferred to vials. Encapsulation of Alexa Hydrazide (AH) 488 was performed by adding 10 μL of the dye solution (10 mg/mL in 0.1 M NaCl) to 10 μL of the above GUV solution. This final solution was heat-cycled past the phase transition temperature of the GUV main lipid by leaving it at T = 37 °C for 10 min and at 24 °C for 5 min; this procedure was repeated 3 times. The filled GUVs were transferred to the prepared sample chamber immediately after the heat cycle process and left to settle for 30 min. Excess Alexa Hydrazide was washed away very gently using 0.1 M PBS. The sample chamber was kept at (8 ± 0.5) K below the lipid phase transition temperature. In the experiment, a GUV on the surface was translated at a constant speed of 0.01 μm/s toward an optically trapped gold nanoparticle using a piezo electrical stage with capacitive feedback (PI-P5173CL). The experiment is sketched in Figure 1. The dashed black line in Figure 1 illustrates the shell around the trapped nanoparticle at which the temperature reaches the phase transition temperature causing the green AH inside the GUV to diffuse out of the leaky GUV.

The AH inside the GUV, the Texas Red labeled membrane of the GUV, as well as the optically trapped gold nanoparticle were visualized by confocal microscopy. Alexa Hydrazide 488 was excited at 488 nm and emitted light was collected in the range 495–565 nm. Texas Red DHPE was excited at 594 nm and imaged at 610–710 nm. The gold nanoparticles were imaged using back scattered light from the 594 nm source in the range 589–599 nm. All images were collected simultaneously at 0.78 frames/sec, hence, the bead had moved 12.8 nm with respect to the supported GUV between two consecutive frames. A typical experiment took 40–60 s.

At a critical distance between the optically trapped gold nanoparticle and the surface of the GUV, the GUV became leaky and the AH escaped from the GUV lumen by diffusion through the membrane. This is visualized in Figure 2a, which shows three snapshots during an experiment. The AH inside the GUV is green, the GUV membrane is red, and the trapped gold nanoparticle appears as a bright white spot. In Figure 2a part I, the trapped bead is far away from the GUV and the content of the GUV is intact. In part II, the trapped bead is closer to the membrane, AH is leaking out and the intensity of AH in the lumen has decreased. In part III, the trapped bead is even closer to the membrane.
to the GUV, the encapsulated AH of the GUV has almost completely leaked out. Care was taken to have the optically trapped bead in the same axial height as the equator of the GUV.

Interestingly, the membrane closest to the heated gold nanoparticle shows a local increase in intensity, see Figure 2b. We ascribe this to the partitioning behavior of the TR-DHPE probe into the disordered fluid region that has melted due to the proximity of the trapped particle. Also, it is clear that the membrane did not rupture during the phase transition or while in the fluid phase. Figure 2c quantifies the total intensity of the AH and of the Texas Red as a function of time within the boxed region shown in Figure 2a. Until approximately 26 s had elapsed, there was essentially no change in the AH emission and bleaching was negligible. Then AH started to leak and the lumen intensity decreased in a nearly linear fashion. Simultaneously, the intensity of Texas Red in the membrane region constantly increased. We defined the critical leaking distance as the distance between the trapped gold nanoparticle and the surface of the GUV at the time where a linear fit to the decrease in AH fluorescence intersects with the horizontal line defining the intensity emitted by AH before leakage. The critical leaking distances were measured for a variety of laser powers and particle diameters (60, 80, 100, 150, and 200 nm), and the results are shown in Figure 3. Each point is an average of five independent experiments (different particles), and the error bars denote one standard deviation.

To investigate the possible effect of photobleaching of the AH by the optical trap, a control was performed by trapping a gold nanoparticle inside and near the center of a GUV. Figure 4 shows the AH emission intensity as a function of time. On time scales comparable to and longer than typical experiments, no photobleaching was detected. Hence, the decrease in AH intensity depicted in Figure 2 is not due to photobleaching.

As the gold nanoparticle was located at a distance from any surface that is several orders of magnitude larger than its diameter, the temperature increase near the particle is well described by the following relation\(^2\)

\[
\Delta T(D) = \frac{AR^3}{3K_wD} \tag{1}
\]

Here, \(A\) is heat input per volume unit, \(K_w\) is the thermal conductivity of water, \(D\) is the distance from the center of the sphere, and \(R\) is the radius of the sphere. \(A = IC/V\), where \(I\) is the light intensity, \(C\) is the absorption cross section, which for these particle sizes is correctly calculated using Mie theory,\(^2\) and \(V\) is the particle volume. The absorption, scattering, and extinction cross sections for gold nanoparticles at various radii while irradiated by 1064 nm laser light calculated using Mie theory are shown in Figure 5, though, it might be possible that heating alters the optical properties of the nanoparticle.\(^2\)

For a \(\Delta T(D)\) corresponding to the difference between the ambient temperature and the phase transition temperature, eq 1 predicts a linear relationship between leak distance, \(D\), and laser power. This relation is experimentally verified for several particle sizes in Figure 3 where full lines are linear fits to the data points. For particles up to 100 nm, the increase is linear for all
pressure can easily reach tens of bars at which the boiling point of water increases significantly. Finally, the temperature rapidly decays away from the particle thus decreasing the chance of boiling.

The slopes of $\Delta T$ versus laser power (plotted in Figure 6) can be used to find the heating at the surface of the particles. We find these values to be $523 \text{ K/W}$ for the 60 nm particle, $448 \text{ K/W}$ for 80 nm, and $371 \text{ K/W}$ for 100 nm, 253 K/W for 150 nm, and $242 \text{ K/W}$ for 200 nm, respectively. To our knowledge, these are the first direct measurements of heating associated with a metallic particle optically trapped in 3D. The heating associated with irradiation of a gold nanoparticle embedded in a 2D lipid bilayer reported in ref.12 is $385 \text{ K/W}$ for 80 nm, $452 \text{ K/W}$ for 100 nm, $732 \text{ K/W}$ for 150 nm, and $1640 \text{ K/W}$ for 200 nm, respectively. In ref 25, a more indirect method was used to infer a heating of $266 \text{ K/W}$ at the surface of a 3D trapped 100 nm gold nanoparticle, however, this estimate was based on an assumption that the viscosity of the medium was equilibrated with temperature. However, as the temperature gradient is very steep around the particle, the viscosity also has a very steep gradient around the particle that might have led to an underestimation of the surface temperature of the gold nanoparticle. Heating of water at the focus is predicted to be on the order of $1 \text{ K/W}$,26 while the effective heating by trapped gold nanoparticles is 2 orders of magnitude greater. Thus, the temperature influence from water absorbance in the sample is minimal.

In principle, one can also use eq 1 to theoretically calculate the temperature at the surface of the particle. However, this requires exact knowledge of all parameters entering the equation, for example, of the absorption coefficient, as plotted in Figure 5, and of the light intensity at the particle, $I$. Many of these parameters are not precisely known. In particular, the exact value of $I$ depends heavily on the axial position of the gold nanoparticle within the trap, a distance that is highly dependent on particle size and yet not reported measured. The strength of our approach is that we directly measure the ratio of all unknown constants in eq 1 and this ratio maps out the heat profile and gives information about the surface temperature of the trapped gold nanoparticle.

There are significant differences between the heating measured from gold nanoparticles trapped in 2D and in 3D. For particles with diameters larger than 80 nm the heating measured from a 2D trapped gold nanoparticle is larger than when the nanoparticle is trapped in 3D and hence free to move (within the trap) in the axial direction. In addition, during 3D trapping the surface temperature decreases with particle size, whereas the surface temperature increases with particle size during 2D trapping. In the 2D trapping assay, the distance between the laser focus and the position of the particle was constant as the trap was positioned with its center at the bead and the bead was confined in the axial direction by the lipid bilayer. In the 2D assay at high laser powers, the particles would blast off the surface into the solution, thus showing that the positioning of a gold nanoparticle in the trap focus is not the equilibrium position.

In the 3D trapping assay, the bead was allowed to move in the axial position to an equilibrium position where the scattering force exerted in the direction of the propagating laser light equated the gradient force exerted by the axially focused laser beam. The larger the scattering force with respect to the gradient force, the further away from the trap center this new equilibrium position is located. Mie theory predicts that the scattering force increases drastically with particle size and eventually becomes dominant over the gradient force, this is shown in Figure 5. Hence, in a 3D trapping assay one would expect the larger particles to be shifted further away from the trap focus in the axial...
direction than the smaller particles. This effect explains the observed difference between 2D and 3D trapping. As the intensity incident on the trapped particle is significantly smaller when the particle is displaced from the center of the tight laser focus, the heating becomes smaller. On the other hand, if the particle is forced to stay in the very center of the focus, as is the case for 2D trapping, then the larger the particle, the larger the heating effect. Future efforts will be devoted to extracting the physical displacement of a metallic particle in an optical trap as a function of size and laser power.

We presented a novel nanoassay where a controlled release of vesicle content was mediated by the heat radiating from an optically trapped gold nanoparticle. The heating of gold nanoparticles optically trapped in three dimensions was directly measured. The temperature increases could be up to 300 °C and were highly dependent on particle size and incident laser power. Surprisingly, the heating of large gold nanoparticles was found to be smaller than the heating of smaller gold nanoparticles. This is probably due to the scattering force, which increases rapidly with particle size and causes the larger particles to be displaced further above the trap focus than the smaller particles. Consequently, heating associated with trapping in 3D differs significantly with respect to trapping in 2D where the particle is confined in the axial direction. We envision this assay to become a useful tool for quantifying temperatures around any type of nanoparticle, e.g., infrared resonant nanoparticles available for photothermal therapy or in drug delivery assays where local heating can be triggered remotely by an external laser.
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