

Market Making with ML



Outline

- Motivation
- Data
- Objectives
- ML
- Conclusions



The Danish Bond Market

What is traded?

Danish Mortgage-backed Bonds Sizes of 1-500 Mio. DKK. 2500 different Bonds

Who is trading?

Buy side: Pension Funds, Hedge Funds(100+) Sell side: Banks and Mortgage Institutions(10-15)

The Market is changing?

Moving from a traditional bilateral voice market to an electronic traded market using one trading platform

Motivation

- Electronic trading is possible
- The number of trades have gone up
- The dealers are getting fewer
- The number of different traded assets are growing
- The information related to each trade are growing
- The execution time for each trade is declining



Overview: Electronic trading



Process

- The Client sends a request to buy or sell an asset
- Each Dealer sends back a closed price, within 30 seconds
- The client chooses the best price and trades with one dealer

Points

- We are one of the dealers
- Other competitors are unknown to us
- If we lose, we do not know
 - Who won
 - The winning price



Business objectives:

Assist a dealer in making faster and better decisions(auction bids).

Improve on existing automatic "simple" rule-based trading systems.





Available data

Highlight

- 12.000 Observations
- Win ratio is 20-30%
- Data categories
 - Auction data
 - Bond data
 - Bond risk measures
- Data is excel-like and have no gabs

Auction data	Bond data	Bond Risk Measures
Win/Second/Loss	Isin	Option Adjusted Spread
Buy/Sell	lssuer	Risk spread
Amount	Amortization	Vega
Client Id	Maturity	Duration
# in competition	Coupon	Convexity
Quoted Price	Туре	
Traded Price		

Data inspection

- Expected correlations
 - Low spread => high win ratio
 - High number of dealers => lower win ratio
- We see some degree of overlapping data between Win/Loss
 It is not immediately obvious how to
 - classify Win/Loss





Data preprocessing

Rescaling prices (feature engineering):

- We change the price data to values relative to the absolute price level
- The price level is given by our fair price (FP)
 - => Difference from the fair price is relevant
 - => Spread = QP FP

Encoding

• Categorial data such as the client Id's are one-hot encoded

Feature normalization

• Done to help our neural network model

Extra data points using SMOTE

- Small number of tail observations
- Only on training data!

Split data on Buy/Sell

- Different distributions of spreads
- Buy and Sell act opposite to spread change



ML objectives:

- Binary classification (Win/Lose)
- Predict the probability of winning an auction as a function of a quoted price x_q .
- Maximize the expected profit...



JYSKE BANK

Choosing a model



Center: mean Box-size: std From 5 fold cross-validation

LightGBM was chosen for the accuracy in both ROC AUC and F1 scores



Steps towards win/lose classification



Introducing relative prices (feature engineering) is the most important step

Towards win probability as function of quoted price

$$P(y=1|(x_{q},...))$$



Trends are apparent ... but more work is needed to make it useful in the real world...





Feature importance from SHAP





Conclusions

- LightGBM gave better results than NN and NB
- Feature engineering (relative prices) important
- Important features:
 - prices
 - number of competitors
- Trends are visible, but more work is needed to get something useful for the real world
- Proved that it should be possible to build a model which may support the dealers or, possibly, automate some trades











Future work

- Collect extra data (features)
- Estimate quote to maximize profit
- Compare with existing algo-trades and dealer-trades.
- Better fair price estimation





Thank you for the attention!



Appendix

Feature transformation of prices

$$x_{q1} = \frac{x_q - x_c}{x_c}$$
$$x_{q2} = \frac{x_q - x_c}{x_l - x_c}$$
$$x_{q3} = \frac{x_q}{x_l}$$

(Cost-based price)

(Cost- and List-based price)

(List-based price)



Even a simple feature transform has a big effect





Result of Hyperparameter tuning for NN:

Model: Keras squential, dense layers (input: 49 neurons - "Relu", output: 1 neuron - "sigmoid"), binary crossentropy loss function

Tuned hyper parameters:

- 1) Number of hidden layers (1, ..., 5)
- 2) Number of neurons per layer (49, 98, 147)
- 3) Activation functions in hidden layers (Relu, Sigmoid)
- 4) Learning rate (0,01, 0.01, 0.001)

5) Threshold in BinaryAccuracy-metric (0, ..., 1 step 0.1)

Hyperparameter	Best value(s)
Number of layers	3
Layer 1	147 neurons, "Relu"
Layer 2	98 neurons, "Relu"
Layer 3	47 neurons, "Sigmoid"
Learning rate	0.01
Threshold	0.2



Result of Hyperparameter tuning for LightGBM

Model: LighGBM

```
best_params= {
    "learning_rate": 0.05,
    "max_depth":-1,
    "n_estimators": 200,
    "num_leaves": 60,
}
```