# Applied ML Loss values







fordiallier sulpi 18%







"Statistics is merely a quantisation of common sense - Machine Learning is a sharpening of it!"

During training (or afterwards!) one can monitor the value of the loss function (L) for the training and validation sample, see below right (here for an NN).

You should understand the shape of the two below figures and their relations.



During training (or afterwards!) one can monitor the value of the loss function (L) for the training and validation sample, see below right (here for an NN).

You should understand the shape of the two below figures and their relations.

### Ask yourself the following questions:

- What is the **optimal** Epoch number to stop training at?
- Why does the validation loss start to **increase** after this point?
- Will the training loss **reach zero** for an NN? For a BDT?
- Why is the validation loss lower than the training loss? (There can be several reasons for this, see the following slides).



During training (or afterwards!) one can monitor the value of the loss function (L) for the training and validation sample, see below right (here for an NN).

You should understand the shape of the two below figures and their relations.

#### Ask yourself the following questions:

- What is the **optimal** Epoch number to stop training at?
- Why does the validation loss start to **increase** after this point?
- Will the training loss **reach zero** for an NN? For a BDT?
- Why is the validation loss lower than the training loss? (There can be several reasons for this, see the following slides).



Loss vs Epoch

During training (or afterwards!) one can monitor the value of the loss function (L) for the training and validation sample, see below right (here for an NN).

You should understand the shape of the two below figures and their relations.

### Ask yourself the following questions:

- What is the **optimal** Epoch number to stop training at?
- Why does the validation loss start to **increase** after this point?
- Will the training loss **reach zero** for an NN? For a BDT?
- Why is the validation loss lower than the training loss? (There can be several reasons for this, see the following slides).



Loss vs Epoch

### 1. Regularization:

During training, the Loss Function calculated on the training sample includes a regularization term (linear or squared), which ensures that the model is well behaved. This term is not applied to the validation sample.



### 2. Dropout:

During training of a NN, the algorithms randomly freezing neurons in a layer. This penalises the performance during training, and also gives "spikes" in the training loss.



#### 3. Evaluation time:

The training loss is calculated as an average over an epoch (for an NN), while the validation loss is calculated at the end. If the model improves significantly during an epoch, the validation loss becomes lower... but only for a while!



#### 4. Luck!

Occasionally, one simply chooses a "lucky" validation set. This is more likely to happen for small samples than for larger ones. However, this effect can be hard to disentangle from other effects, unless one is in control of these.

