

UNIVERSITY O COPENHAGEN

Fake News Articles and How to Find Them

Final Project

Applied Machine Learning 2023

Marcus Gut, Rune Zeitzen, Magnus Oddershede and Brage Haldor Thomsen



COPENHAGEN

Introduction and motivation

- Fake news has a documented effect on political beliefs (Ognyanova et al, 2020)
- Reuters and others spend resources on fact-checking
- Our task: Classification of fake news articles using Consumer grade hardware, utilising
 - LightGBM Gradient Boosted Decision Trees
 - Recurrent Neural Networks with Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM)

<u>Outline</u>





<u>Dataset</u>

• Very balanced - nearly 50/50 - thus making accuracy a performance measure

UNIVERSI

- Mix of long and short articles with no obvious difference
- Contains title, text and label



<u>Dataset</u>

UNIVERSITY OF

- Very balanced nearly 50/50 thus making accuracy a good measure
- Mix of long and short articles with no obvious difference
- Contains title, text and label
- Very messy complicated dataset

Dataset



- 157 À 1'âge de 3 ans inles with no obvious difference 158 Ses parents organisent des voyages ludiques avec des locuteurs natifs, elle Veriss Ses parents organisent des voyages ludiques avec des locuteurs natifs, elle schercheurs confirment que la capacitéà parler plusieurs langues et de n Veriss Ses parents organisent des voyages ludiques avec des locuteurs natifs, elle Mix Isg Les chercheurs confirment que la capacitéà parler plusieurs langues et de pi

Requires multilingual capabilities in order to compare with english articles!

<u>Dataset</u>



Dataset



COPENHAGEN

- Ver 158 A 1' age de 3 ans inles with no obvious difference Mix 159 Les chercheurs confirment des voi enter BRPSCokAldkil
- Mix¹⁵⁹ Les chercheurs confirment

该组织与联合国的关系在许多领域都得到成功的发展:反恐和打击贩毒、维持和平以及控制有组织犯罪。集体安全条约组 206243 大会每两年就联合国与集体安全条约组织之间的合作通过一份决议。计划将在第七十一届会议审议题为"联合国同各区域组织 206244 2010年,联合国秘书处与上海合作组织秘书处签署关于双方合作的联合声明,为两组织按照《联合国宪章》第八章就国际和 206245 206246 |在此范畴内,上海合作组织积极支持国际社会和联合国机构努力恢复阿富汗和平,并-→贯主张联合国在解决阿 Jues et de p

Classifying this is probably beyond the scope of this project...

<u>Cleanup</u>



UNIVERSITY O

<u>Cleanup</u>

- UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN
- Average word length takes care of link-only articles without removing actual articles using links
- Search for most common special char. in other languages: æøå, ç, ¿, various chinese and arabic symbols, ect.



<u>Cleanup</u>

- Average word length takes care of link-only articles without removing actual articles using links
- Search for most common special char. in other languages: æøå, ç, ¿, various chinese and arabic symbols, ect.
- Remove formatting artifacts, double spaces, \n ect.

<u>Outline</u>







Preprocessing

Geologist thinks she found a meteorite, but the geologist really found a rock!

[0, 1527, 45, 236, 5, 0, 32, 1, 28490, 219, 236, 5, 0]



Preprocessing











Feature extraction for LightGBM

- Title and text length
- Average word length
- Entropy gain from splitting at a



UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN

<u>Outline</u>





LightGBM GBDT

Model Highlights

- Gradient Boosted Decision Tree
- Easy to set up Fast training
- Performant on minimal preprocessi

HyperParams:

- max_depth: 3
- learning_rate: 0.01
- n_estimators: 100
- subsample: 0.8
- reg_alpha (L1): 0.1
- reg_lambda (L2): 0.1

GDBT Structure





COPENHAGEN

LightGBM

LightGBM GBDT Combating overfitting

Reduced model complexity

- Optimized using k-fold cross validation & learning curves
 - small k-fold cross validation
 spread indicating no overfitting
 - Convergent learning curves





Tensorflow LSTM

Model Highlights

TensorFlow

- Long Short-Term Memory (RNN)
- Naturally well suited for sequence data, specifically structured text
 - Handles and uses context through word ordering
 - Tackles unseen data well



LSTM RNN Structure

1: Fake

UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN

TensorFlow LSTM Combating overtraining

- Model structure and batch size optimised for efficient training
- Input & Recurrent dropout to combat overtraining
- Batchsize: 2048 (Most Stable)
- Training time ~ 20-30 minutes





Results and Performance LightGBM GBDT

abel.

- Acceptable metrics _
- False positive is dominant error for default cut (p=0.5)
- A very clean cut is not possible (ROC curve)
- Extremely high true positive rate (true fake classified as fake) is attainable

Confusion Matrix and ROC Curve Best LightGBM Model

- 0 Ø 0.0 0.2 0.8 0.46 Predicted Label False Positive Rate Preprocessing: lowercase, spaces, stopwords, cleanup





Results and Performance TensorFlow LSTM RNN

- Better metrics than LGBM
- False positive and false
 negative are balanced
- Good separation, but one type of error cannot be excluded







Preprocessing: lowercase, spaces, cleanup



Trying BERT on GPUs



COPENHAGEN

BERT: Bidirectional Encoder Representation of Transformers

Using a pretrained BERT Tokenizer: 'bert-base-uncased'.

Fine tuned using our dataset, run on Kaggle NVIDIA P100 GPU.

Yielded high accuracy of about 98% on test data.



NVIDIA P100 GPU Training time: 1.5 hours





Preprocessing: lowercase, spaces, cleanup



COPENHAGEN

Vocabulary size and preprocessing

Some observations:

- Preprocessing improved performance to a certain extent
 - Clean, lowercase, and spaces improve performance
 - Find and replace " (f**k \rightarrow wordwithasterisk) impairs performance
- Preprocessing becomes irrelevant when vocabulary becomes large

Conclusion



- Well performing NLP classification tasks can be executed on personal computers no need for HPC resources.
 - RNN-LSTM: good metrics and general separation
 - ◆ LGBM-GBDT: Very pure "fake" classification is possible
- → Preprocessing and feature extraction improves performance to a certain extent.
- → Transformer based models, BERT, offer improved performance at the cost of computational time.



Predictions on current NEWS

Using the LSTM model, our trained model labelled this **BBC** article as *Real*.

Donald Trump is 'toast' if indictment correct, William Barr says

🕓 8 hours ago

Indictments of Donald Trump



William Barr was once one of Mr Trump's staunchest allies but has been critical of him since leaving office



The same model flagged this article from **The Onion** as **Fake**.

Trump Takes Out Full-Page Newspaper Ad Calling For Death Penalty For Himself

Published April 4, 2023 | Alerts

💙 f 🕝 🖾 🖉





https://www.theo nion.com/trump-t akes-out-full-pag e-newspaper-adcalling-for-deat-1 850299979

America's Finest News Source.

Further work



- → Bidirectional, peephole & coupled forget/input gate LSTMs or even GRU (Gated Recurrent Unit) for 1 update unit
- → Generate fake news articles
 - Using trained LSTM
 - Using transformer based model
- → General Fake News Detection Software
 - Using our model to flag fake news, utilising a webscraber



Appendix

Github Repository:

https://github.com/Chrowian/Final_Project_GutQuaadeHaldorZeitzen.git

Dataset:

https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/saurabhshahane/fake-news-classification

STATEMENT



All authors contributed equally to all parts of the project, both in developing key ideas for investigation, code to preprocess and run the Machine Learning algorithms and subsequent analysis of the results.



Cleaning Data

Removal of empty strings, NaN's, non-english articles, only hyperlinks, were coded. In the end, approx. 70000 articles were left.

df = df.apply(lambda x: x.str.strip() if x.dtype == "object" else x)
df.replace(to_replace='', value=pd.NA, inplace=True)
df.dropna(inplace=True)

non_english_mask = df['text'].astype(str).str.contains(pattern, regex=True)
df_new = df[~non_english_mask.values]

final_drop_idx = avg_word_len.index.to_series()[avg_word_len < 3]
final_drop_idx_2 = avg_word_len.index.to_series()[avg_word_len > 10]
df_new.drop(final_drop_idx)
df_new.drop(final_drop_idx_2)
return df_new



Preprocessing

Pandas dataframes are used in this project. With dataframes finding and replacing things are quick and easy.



Tokenization



To turn words into tokens we first create a vocabulary of words from the articles.

This is done by counting how often each words appear and then giving the number ofwords you want in your vocabulary.The tokenizer used were implemented using

This implementation found our own vocabulary



The tokenizer used were implemented using Keras, as this package was optimised for faster runtime.

Initialize tokenizer tokenizer = Tokenizer(num_words=vocab_size, filters='') # Extract columns titles = df[title_column] texts = df[text_column] # Fit the tokenizer on the texts tokenizer.fit_on_texts(pd.concat([titles, texts])) # Convert texts to sequences sequences_titles = tokenizer.texts_to_sequences(titles) sequences_texts = tokenizer.texts_to_sequences(texts) # Pad sequences

padded_titles = pad_sequences(sequences_titles, maxlen=max_length, padding='post')
padded_texts = pad_sequences(sequences_texts, maxlen=max_length, padding='post')

Tokenization



This vocabulary assigns an integer from 0 to "number of words in vocabulary" to each word. So the most common word, usually "the", is given integer 1 and so on.

If a word is not in the vocabulary it will be given the integer 0 and described as "UNK", meaning its an unknown word.

From this vocabulary every word is replaced with is corresponding integer, thereby tokenizing the text.



Entropy splitting 1

A list of 150 words related to American politics (a significant portion of articles seemed to be related to American politics, with "Trump" being present in approx. 50% of all articles) or "article-like" lingo (exclusive, breaking, interview, ect.) are counted in all articles, separated into four counts: occurring in fake or real articles, and not occurring in fake or real articles. From this, the entropy gain (based on Shannon entropy) is calculated from a potential split at this word. The chosen words are in the main presentation. See next slide for entropy calculations.



Entropy splitting 2

def calculate_entropy(fake_occ, real_occ, fake_non_occ, real_non_occ):

total_fake = fake_occ + fake_non_occ total_real = real_occ + real_non_occ total = total_fake + total_real p_fake_with_word = fake_occ / total p_real_with_word = real_occ / total

entropy_parent = -(p_fake_with_word * np.log2(p_fake_with_word) + p_real_with_word * np.log2(p_real_with_word))
entropy_parent[np.isnan(entropy_parent)] = 0 # Set NaN values to 0

return entropy_parent

```
def calculate_entropy_gain(fake_occ, real_occ, fake_non_occ, real_non_occ):
    entropy_parent = calculate_entropy(fake_occ, real_occ, fake_non_occ, real_non_occ)
```

```
total_fake = fake_occ + fake_non_occ
total_real = real_occ + real_non_occ
total = total_fake + total_real
p_fake = total_fake / total
p_real = total_real / total
```

entropy_gain = entropy_parent - entropy_children
return entropy_gain

UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN



LightGBM GBDT Setup

Setup using the LightGBM classifier class LGBMClassifier().

Key Hyperparamters:

n_estimators: 100, max_depth: 3, learning_rate: 0.01, subsample: 0.8, reg_alpha: 0.1, reg_lambda: 0.1

Initial experiments hinted at overfitting, and k-fold cross validation and learning curve divergence confirmed this. A Hyperparameter optimisation was carried out, reducing the complexity, and leading to satisfactory spread on k-fold cross validation experiments, and convergence in learning curves.



LightGBM GBDT Setup



Performance of the LightGBM classifier was evaluated based of several indicators. The accuracy of the predicted labels on the test data, the binary cross entropy (LogLoss), and the ROC curve. While accuracy normally isn't always a good indicator for classification problems, we have an even data set, meaning the same number of fake and real articles, so that accuracy should be a good measure.





TensorFlow LSTM Setup

UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN

Implemented using the TenserFlow Keras API. The model built is a sequential model, where

layers are added as needed. The simple model used for some of the results is implemented as shown by the figure in the presentation:

model = Sequential()
model.add(Embedding(max_features, 32))
model.add(LSTM(32, dropout=0.2, recurrent_dropout=0.2))
model.add(Dense(1, activation='sigmoid', kernel_regularizer=regularizers.l2(0.01)))





COPENHAGEN

TensorFlow LSTM Setup

Although having only one LSTM layer may seem overly simple, in reality, as this is a type of RNN, the LSTM layer does many computations, and in that sense "adds" more "layers" to the model. It is not correct to call these time steps, x-1, x, x+1, layers, but they can be imagined as such. The model, even with this simple setup, was able to handle the complex data structures, and as such, it was not important to add more layers, which only would have made the model slower to train. The sequence length of the inputs to the model dictated the number of "timesteps" in the LSTM, typically we used between 300 and 400, with zeropadding on the end so that all inputs had the same shape (This is vital for LSTM RNNs).





TensorFlow LSTM Setup

The model structure and batchsize used was optimised to have a good tradeoff between stable model behaviour, i.e., semi-smooth converging loss functions, and training time. It was found that a batchsize of 2048 gave the most stable loss functions, while the reduction of units in the LSTM layer yielded faster training, while not costing much in performance. Increasing LSTM units, or adding another LSTM layer, only yielded accuracy scores that were slightly higher, ~ 0.96, at the cost of vastly increased computational time.





BERT Classifier Setup

We used the pretrained model BERT to try and see whether or not a Transformer powered model would perform exceptionally well on the dataset. This was, as seen in the presentation, the case. Setting up the model was done using the TensorFlow Keras implementation version of the TFBertForSequenceClassification forward method. A high dropout rate was used to avoid overtraining and overfitting.

from transformers import BertTokenizer, TFBertForSequenceClassification, BertConfig
tokenizer = BertTokenizer.from_pretrained('bert-base-uncased', do_lower_case=True)
config = BertConfig.from_pretrained('bert-base-uncased', num_labels=2)



config.hidden_dropout_prob = 0.35

model = TFBertForSequenceClassification.from_pretrained('bert-base-uncased', config=config)



BERT Classifier Setup

As the BERT classifier model is a very large pretrained model, it may come as no surprise, that finetuning the pretrained model was time consuming. It was estimated, that the total training time for the model would have come close to 24 hours on our fastest available laptop (M2pro Macbook), which was by no means feasible. However, luckily, Kaggle offers 30 hours of GPU usage for its users per week, provided they have registered with a phone number. This allowed for much faster training times, in the order of 1 hour. The outputted, finetuned model, fills nearly 500MB, and is therefore a very heavy and complicated model.





General Training Comments

UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN

It is important to stress, that in the datasets were appropriately split, in such a way, to keep the testing dataset away from the model, while the training and validation datasets are used to train the model. Only when evaluating performance is the test dataset used.



Extended results

COPENHAGEN

The next slides include more of the results, for varying levels of preprocessing, and vocabulary size. These results are found using the models described previously.

General Trends



- Pre processing improves performance to a certain extent
 - Data cleanup, lowercase, spaces improves performance
 - "Find and replace" ($f^{**}k \rightarrow wordwithasterisk$) impairs performance
 - It was found, above a certain threshold, that preprocessing returns diminished, once vocabulary size was sufficiently large.
- Very good separation is possible for RNN-LSTM
- Very high true positive rate is possible for LGBM tree based solution
- Models are robust when vocabulary size is decreased



Extended results

The fact that our true negative rate cannot be very close to 1 (which is the case for the true positive rate) means that we cannot separate articles into two classes and be sure that one class contains only real articles. On the other hand, we can make sure that one class contains only fake news articles.

We believe that this is due to the fact that some fake articles are very well written and therefore are difficult to recognize. On the other hand, real news articles have a minimum level of writing, and the poorly written articles are therefore easy to classify as fake news.



COPENHAGEN

Accuracy vs vocabulary size





LightGBM - Low Vocab Size

UNIVERSITY OF Copenhagen

Confusion Matrix and ROC Curve Best LightGBM Model



No preprocessing applied yielding a good accuracy nonetheless

Preprocessing applied yielding higher accuracy

Confusion Matrix and ROC Curve Best LightGBM Model





LightGBM - Large Vocab Size

UNIVERSITY OF Copenhagen

Confusion Matrix and ROC Curve Best LightGBM Model



No preprocessing applied

Preprocessing applied

Confusion Matrix and ROC Curve Best LightGBM Model





0.8

1.0

0.6

TensorFlow - Low Vocab Size

0

TensorFlow

Irue Label



0

Predicted Label

1

0.0

0.2

0.4

False Positive Rate



COPENHAGEN

TensorFlow - Large Vocab Size



No preprocessing applied yielding a good accuracy nonetheless

TensorFlow

Preprocessing applied yielding higher accuracy





COPENHAGEN

Real & Real Fake News

To analyse the two articles found on the internet, we had to first save the models in a dataframe, that was then passed through the same preprocessing and tokenizer functions that the model training data had gone through, so the consistency was there.

The model used to assess the validity of the two articles was a TensorFlow LSTM, which was structured and run in the same way as the previously described models. The model used had an accuracy of 93%, and was preprocessed. The articles went through the same preprocessing.

Classification of the Articles: 0: Real, 1: Fake

Article 1 (BBC): [0.] ([0.04853711]) Article 2 (The Onion): [1.] ([0.93275005])

The two articles:

https://www.bbc.com/news/world-us-canada-65875898

https://www.theonion.com/trump-takes-out-full-page-newspaper-ad-calling-for-deat-1850299979



Real & Real Fake News

We observed, that testing the model on a news article, which had little to do with politics, such as the attached news article, the model was more unsure how to classify them. This comes as no surprise, and it has more to say about out training data, than our model. A quick overview of the articles in our dataset also reveals, that the dataset mostly contains articles related to politics, and therefore, the model knows how to distinguish fake political articles from real.

This is the type of article, that the classifier had a harder time classifying.

https://www.theonion.com/god-still-little-pissed-off-every-time-human-takes-bite-185 0524056

God Still Little Pissed Off Every Time Human Takes Bite From Apple

Published 9 hours ago | Alerts

5 🖯 🔁 🖉 🖉



References



Katherine Ognyanova, David Lazer, Ronald E. Robertson, Christo Wilson: Misinformation in action: Fake news exposure is linked to lower trust in media, higher trust in government when your side is in power, The Harvard Kennedy School Misinformation Review, Vol 1.4 (2020)

Reuters Fact Check: Fact Check Housing Violation Notice in College Bathroom Prank Resurfaces (2021)

https://towardsdatascience.com/choosing-the-right-hyperparameters-for-a-simple-lstm-using-keras-f8e9ed76f046

https://towardsdatascience.com/lstm-by-example-using-tensorflow-feb0c1968537

http://colah.github.io/posts/2015-08-Understanding-LSTMs/

https://towardsdatascience.com/long-short-term-memory-lstm-in-keras-2b5749e953ac