# Scientific Machine Learning

Christian Buus Michelsen @ TICRA

2026/06/03



# SciML: model-based, data-efficient machine learning






**Good Predictions**



TICRA

# Simple Harmonic Oscillator

$$m\ddot{x} + kx = 0$$






TICRA

# Simple Harmonic Oscillator?

$$m\ddot{x} + kx = 0$$









TICRA

# Neural networks

NN = Universal approximators

*(Universal Approximation Theorem, G. Cybenko 1989)*

$$x(t) = NN(t)$$






TICRA

# Neural networks

NN = Universal approximators

*(Universal Approximation Theorem, G. Cybenko 1989)*

$$x(t) = NN(t)$$






TICRA

# Neural networks

NN = Universal approximators

*(Universal Approximation Theorem, G. Cybenko 1989)*

$$x(t) = NN(t)$$






TICRA

# Neural networks

NN = Universal approximators

*(Universal Approximation Theorem, G. Cybenko 1989)*

$$x(t) = NN(t)$$






TICRA

# Neural networks

NN = Universal approximators

*(Universal Approximation Theorem, G. Cybenko 1989)*

$$x(t) = NN(t)$$






TICRA

# Harmonic Oscillator?

2nd order ODE → 1st order system:

$$\dot{x} = v$$

$$\dot{v} = -\frac{kx}{m}$$




$$m\ddot{x} + kx = 0$$



TICRA

# Harmonic Oscillator?

2nd order ODE → 1st order system:

$$\dot{x} = v$$

$$\dot{v} = -\frac{kx + NN(v)}{m}$$




$$m\ddot{x} + kx = 0$$



TICRA

# Harmonic Oscillator?

2nd order ODE → 1st order system:

$$\dot{x} = v$$

$$\dot{v} = -\frac{kx + NN(v)}{m}$$




$$m\ddot{x} + kx = 0$$



TICRA

# Harmonic Oscillator?

2nd order ODE → 1st order system:

$$\dot{x} = v$$

$$\dot{v} = -\frac{kx + NN(v)}{m}$$




$$m\ddot{x} + kx = 0$$



TICRA

# Harmonic Oscillator?

2nd order ODE → 1st order system:

$$\dot{x} = v$$

$$\dot{v} = -\frac{kx + NN(v)}{m}$$




$$m\ddot{x} + kx = 0$$



TICRA

# Harmonic Oscillator?

2nd order ODE → 1st order system:

$$\dot{x} = v$$

$$\dot{v} = -\frac{kx + NN(v)}{m}$$




$$m\ddot{x} + kx = 0$$



TICRA

# Harmonic Oscillator?

2nd order ODE → 1st order system:

$$\dot{x} = v$$

$$\dot{v} = -\frac{kx + NN(v)}{m}$$




$$m\ddot{x} + kx = 0$$

# Damped Harmonic Oscillator!

2nd order ODE → 1st order system:

$$\dot{x} = v$$

$$\dot{v} = -\frac{kx + bv}{m}$$




$$m\ddot{x} + b\dot{x} + kx = 0$$



TICRA

## Machine learning

```
function loss_nn(θ)
    y_pred = NN(x_train, θ)
    loss = MSE(y_pred, y_train)
    return loss
end
```

## Scientific

```
function damped_harmonic(u, p, t)
    x, v = u          # states
    m, k, b = p       # parameters

    ∂x = v
    ∂v = -1 / m * (k * x + b * v)

    return [∂x, ∂v] # derivatives
end
```

## Scientific Machine Learning

```
function sciml_harmonic(u, p, t)
    x, v = u          # states
    m, k, θ = p       # parameters

    ∂x = v
    ∂v = -1 / m * (k * x + NN(v, θ)

    return [∂x, ∂v] # derivatives
  end
```

# Structure

- The major advances in machine learning were due to encoding more structure into the model
- More structure = faster and better fits from less data
- Convolutional Neural Networks are structure assumptions




*VGG-16 CNN (ImageNet)*



TICRA

# Extrapolation and generalization

- LIGO Black Hole dynamics from the gravitational wave data

Upon denoting $\mathbf{x} = (\phi, \chi, p, e)$, we propose the following family of UDEs to describe the two-body relativistic dynamics:

$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{(1 + e\cos(\chi))^2}{Mp^{3/2}}\big(1 + \mathcal{F}_1(\cos(\chi), p, e)\big), \tag{5a}$$

$$\dot{\chi} = \frac{(1 + e\cos(\chi))^2}{Mp^{3/2}}\big(1 + \mathcal{F}_2(\cos(\chi), p, e)\big), \tag{5b}$$

$$\dot{p} = \mathcal{F}_3(p, e), \tag{5c}$$

$$\dot{e} = \mathcal{F}_4(p, e), \tag{5d}$$






TICRA

# TICRA

- Founded in 1971
- Copenhagen, Denmark
- Electromagnetic radiation
- Flagship product: TICRA Tools
- Long partnership with the European Space Agency (ESA), spacecraft manufacturers, and satellite operators
- 50 employees
  - ≥ 80% with MSc
  - ~ 60% with Ph.D.

TICRA TOOLS

GRASP ESTEAM CHAMP3D QUPES POS UQ

esa European Space Agency





TICRA

# TICRA Core Customers

- Space agencies
- Satellite operators
- Satellite, payload and antenna manufacturers













# Design Philosophy – Scientific Machine Learning

**Core assets:**

- Tailored, state-of-the art simulation and optimisation tools for antenna design
- Solutions to  antenna design task that competitors cannot currently solve



# Incorporating physics into ML models





TICRA

# Why incorporate physics?

- Traditional methods for solving SciML problems can be slow
  - ML surrogate models could alleviate computational bottlenecks
- SciML data has rich structure that we can take advantage of
  - Data is generated by physics and therefore well-defined (unlike e.g. predicting human behaviour)
  - The physics is often theoretically well-understood
- Incorporating physics makes prediction tasks easier
  - Shrinks space of possible solutions
  - Acts as a regularizer
  - Can allow for extrapolation, instead of just interpolation
  - Can make models more interpretable and/or trustworthy

# Basic example

Original



Transformed



```python
def cart2pol(x, y):
    """Convert from 2D Cartesian to polar coordinates."""

    rho = np.sqrt(x**2 + y**2)
    phi = np.arctan2(x, y)

    return rho, phi
```

# PINNs (Physics-informed NN)

## Enforcing physics through soft constraints





TICRA

# Enforcing physics through soft constraints: PINNs

- Introduced in 2019

ELSEVIER

Journal of Computational Physics
Volume 378, 1 February 2019, Pages 686-707



Physics-informed neural networks: A deep learning framework for solving forward and inverse problems involving nonlinear partial differential equations

M. Raissi [a], P. Perdikaris [b], G.E. Karniadakis [a]

- Idea:
  - Given a PDE, e.g. $(\nabla^2 + k^2)\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, approximate its solution with a NN
  - Train it to minimize $\underbrace{|\mathbf{E}^{\text{pred}}(\mathbf{x}_b) - \mathbf{E}^{\text{true}}(\mathbf{x}_b)|^2}_{\text{data loss}} + \underbrace{|(\nabla^2 + k^2)\mathbf{E}^{\text{pred}}(\mathbf{x}_c)|^2}_{\text{PDE loss}}$

# Enforcing physics through soft constraints: PINNs

>15k citations, making it the most cited numerical methods paper of the 21st century

Still, lots of problems:

- Training instabilities, especially in high-frequency domain
- Only works for small networks (typically less than 0.5M parameters)
- No accuracy guarantees, as opposed to traditional numerical methods
- Uses expensive second order optimization methods (L-BFGS), which has implications for activations functions
- etc.

**Characterizing possible failure modes in physics-informed neural networks**

Aditi S. Krishnapriyan[*,1,2], Amir Gholami[*,2], Shandian Zhe[3], Robert M. Kirby[3], Michael W. Mahoney[2,4]

# Satisfying physical laws

## Enforcing physics through hard constraints





TICRA

# Enforcing physics through hard constraints: Via problem formulation

- Suppose you were designing an antenna, trying out different designs
- Every change requires you to wait *minutes* before you can see if it got better
- Train a surrogate model to predict the antenna's EM field from the design parameters!



# Enforcing physics through hard constraints: Via problem formulation




From design parameters, predict

- EM field in grid (3264 predicted variables)

# Enforcing physics through hard constraints: Via problem formulation




$$\bar{E}(r,\theta,\phi) = k\sqrt{\zeta}\sum_{smn} Q_{smn}\bar{F}_{smn}(r,\theta,\phi)$$

From design parameters, predict

- EM field in grid (3264 predicted variables)
- Expansion (SWE) coefficients encoding the EM field (96 predicted variables)
  - Compressed representation
  - Guarantees that the predicted field is a solution to Maxwell's equations (!!)

# Enforcing physics through hard constraints: Via problem formulation




Good performance, but quite jittery/unphysical

# Enforcing physics through hard constraints: Via problem formulation




Still good performance, but much more physically correct
(this stuff matters to domain experts!)

# Projects @ TICRA

Bachelor's and Master's projects





TICRA

# Master’s projects @ TICRA

- Computational Electromagnetics
- Data – truth like quality
- SciML / PINN / Neural Operators / Differentiable Programming (Auto-Diff)

# Thank you!

Christian Buus Michelsen
Senior ML Engineer @ TICRA
Scientific Machine Learning 2026-06-03
https://github.com/ChristianMichelsen/SciMLPresentation